



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,242	02/26/2004	Hitoshi Ueno	FUS 20.996	8984
26304 7590 05/29/2008 KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP 575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585				
EXAMINER				
JEAN, FRANTZ B				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2154				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/29/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/789,242

Applicant(s)

UENO, HITOSHI

Examiner

Frantz B. Jean

Art Unit

2154

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 January 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/US)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/06/07.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to applicant's response filed on 1/23/08. Claims 1-24 are pending in the application.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 12/06/07 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-24 is directed toward a computer program (software per se). The claims lack hardware or machine to execute the steps of the program. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United

States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21 (2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bowman-Annua hereinafter Bowman, US patent number 6,611,867.

As per claim 1, Bowman teaches a computer program that makes a computer function as: managing a relationship between first configuration information concerning a configuration of a first network layer and second configuration information concerning a configuration of a second network layer, and automatically Updates the first configuration information and the second configuration information following a change in the configuration (see col. 71 lines 14-20; col. 11 line 46 to col. 12 line 33) and instructing the second network layer, when the configuration of the first network layer is changed, to change the configuration of the second network layer (col. 71 lines 14-20). As per claim 2, Bowman teaches a computer program according to claim 1, wherein when a bandwidth is changed in the first network layer, the instructing includes issuing a change instruction to the second network layer concerning the change of the bandwidth (change in bandwidth requirements, col. 107 lines 56-67).

As per claim 3, Bowman teaches a computer program according to claim 1, wherein the second network layer consists of a plurality of layer elements, and the managing includes managing a relationship between the second configuration information and the

Art Unit: 2154

first configuration information for each of the plurality of layer elements (see col. 71 lines 14-20; col. 11 line 46 to col. 12 line 33).

As per claim 4, Bowman teaches a computer program according to claim 1, wherein the managing includes managing service information concerning communication service provided from the first network layer and the second network layer, by relating the service information to the first configuration information and the second configuration information, and automatically updating the first configuration information, the second configuration information, and the service information following the change of the configuration (see col. 71 lines 14-20; col. 11 line 46 to col. 12 line 33; col. 71 lines 14-20).

As per claim 5, Bowman teaches a computer program according to claim 4, wherein when the communication service is being provided, the instructing includes notifying the network layer about disapproval of changing the configuration (see fig 1C-1 and 1F-1).

As per claim 6, Bowman teaches a computer program according to claim 1, further comprising receiving a notification of an occurrence of a trouble from the first network layer, wherein the instructing includes notifying the second network layer about the occurrence of the trouble (see fig 1C-1 and 1F-1).

As per claim 7, Bowman teaches a computer program according to claim 6, wherein the instructing includes notifying, upon lapse of a predetermined time since the occurrence of the trouble, a network manager about the occurrence of the trouble (see fig 1C-1 and 1F-1).

As per claim 8, Bowman teaches a computer program according to claim 1, wherein the first network layer is configured to have a link, and the second network layer is configured to have a path that is utilized in the link (col. 11 line 46 to col. 12 line 33). As per claims 9-24, they contain the same limitations as discussed above in claims 1-8. Therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 1/23/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that Bownan-Annuah fails to teach changing the configuration of the second layer when the first layer is changed.

Examiner submits that applicant has misinterpreted the prior art of record. Bowman-Annuah in col 71 lines 19-20 discusses changing configuration in order to address and remedy to network problem. Therefore, Bowman-Annuah broadly addresses the above limitation regarding updating configuration information.

Accordingly, the rejection is maintained.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2154

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantz B. Jean whose telephone number is 571-272-3937. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00 M-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Frantz B. Jean/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2154